

Seismic source characterization by ionospheric sounding from ground positioning system data

L. Rolland⁽¹⁾, P. Lognonné⁽¹⁾, E.A. Kherani⁽¹⁾, F. Crespon⁽²⁾, M. Murakami⁽³⁾



activity

offered

epicenter was

1- Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Planetaryandd Spatial Geophysicss Team, 4 av.. dee Neptune — 941077 SAINTT MAURR cedexx - FRANCE 2-NOVELTIS, Toulouse, Parc Technologique du Canal - 2, avenue de l'Europe - 31520 Ramonville-Saint-Agne – FRANCE

3- GSI, JAPAN

Abstract

Imaging the terrestrial ionosphere is becoming possible since the installation of dense GPS networks, with a temporal and spatial resolution allowing the detection of ionospheric seismic waves. Since the 1960s, ionospheric seismic waves are detectable almost punctually after large shallow earthquakes, with current minimum magnitude of 6.5. Most recently, the use of dense networks gave the way to a global visualization of the horizontal propagation of co-seismic ionospheric disturbances. Such a use of a Global Positioning System array, and the sounding capability of the method above the ocean, prove the potential of this method as a complement to more traditional techniques used in seismology.

From now on, after imaging seismic waves in the ionosphere, the challenge is the characterization of the seismic source, whose rupture involves coupling mechanisms between the moving solid earth and its surrounding atmosphere. The study presented here is based on the Total Electronic Content variations mapped close to the source and shortly after the Tokachi-Oki earthquake (M=8.3) that occurred on September, 25, 2003, in Japan. The first fundamental source parameters derived from 1 Hz sampled data will be reminded here. The rupture process is then pre-modelled in reference to the co-seismic displacements estimated by other techniques. Therefore, a modelling of the horizontal propagation of acoustic waves generated by three aligned separated sources is developed. The preliminary results of the subsequent GPS data inversion tests will be presented. Finally, for physical modelling of the vertical propagation, we used ray tracing in the atmosphere, in order to study the effects of the near-field pulse spreading in acoustic domain as well as the redistribution of the charged particles under geomagnetic dependency. This could explain the south-western directivity of most of the seismic perturbations observed in the TEC above Japan (cf. [Heki&Ping,2005]) and first observed in California by [Calais et al., 1998].

Earth – Neutral Atmosphere – Ionosphere Coupling



Fig.1 : Coupling mechanisms between earth, its atmosphere and ionosphere involved after an earthquake.

Vertical ground displacements generate atmospheric pressure waves. Those are considerably amplified the upward to ionosphere under the effect of the decreasing density.

The ionospheric waves at near field (< 500 km) originate directly from the earthquake rupture process and at teleseismic distance the perturabtions are the consequence of Rayleigh surface

waves.



How can we interpret the observed South-Eastern directivity?

Near field modelling

Phase inversions observed at TEC images (see fig.5) are interpreted interferences between here as separated sources, as shown by fig.4.





Directivity factors	
Geomagnetic field	





Fig. 4 : Synthetic of two coherent acoustic radiation sources diagrams

The inversion procedure is posed as a least-square problem. Wavelengths exceeding 300 km are found as shown fig.5, so other parameters have to be taken into account. Ingredients for the integration of the pertubed electronic density along the line of sight are based on the determination of the neutral density variations by ray tracing, linked to the electronic density variation (as described on fig.7).

data inversion tests.

Top : TEC data at ionospheric piercing points (IPPs). Center : TEC values at IPPs calculated from inversion procedure. Bottom : synthetic of the inversion result.

Charged particules are reorganized under neutral wind effect. This is modelled by a 3D ionospheric coupling program by [E.A Kherani et al.].



The geometry of observation

Low satellite elevation angles cause a distortion of the slant Total Electronic Content phase, as it is integrated on the line of sight. This distortion cannot be simply modelled and a technique like tomography should be useful.



Distance from source (km) Fig.7 Due to the speed of sound variations (top left) trajectories of rays are deflected (bottom, represented for increasing launch angles. The velocity is modelled by a pulse function (top right).

300

200

Fig. 9 Left : vertical TEC modelled without geomagnetic field. Right : Geometrical distortion due to the non-verticality of receiver-satellite rays.



Conclusions and perspectives:

Basic inversion here supplies a first fit of experimental data. Current developments are considering a more realistic modelling of source and atmospheric propagation of the synthetized acoustic waves. aiming to constrain more completely the parameters of the rupture process by a final inversion.

References :

Yagi, Y., 2004. Source rupture process of the 2003 Tokachi-Oki earthquake determined by joint inversion of teleseismic body wave and strong ground motion data. Earth Planets and Space, 56, 311–316. Crespon, F., 2007. Tomographie 2D et 3D de l'ionosphère par GPS : applications aux aléas géophysiques. PhD thesis, Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris. Schunk, R., Nagy, F., 2002. Ionospheres - Physics, Plasma Physics, and Chemistry. Cambridge Atmospheric and Space Science Series, Cambridge University Press. E. A. Kherani, P. Lognonné, N. Kamath, F. Crespon and R. Garcia, submitted, "Response of the Ionosphere to the seismic triggered acoustic waves: electron density and electromagnetic fluctuations," Geophys. J. Int.

K. Heki and J. Ping, 2005. Directivity and apparent velocity of the coseismic ionospheric disturbances observed with a dense GPS array, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, vol. 236, pp. 845-855. E. Calais, J.-B. Minster, M. Hofton and M. Hedlin, 1998. Ionospheric signature of surface mine blasts from Global Positioning System measurements, Geophys. J. Int., vol. 132, pp.191-202

This work is supported by : ANR, INSU and CNES

Corresponding author email adress : rolland@ipgp.jussieu.fr